

Frame, cotangent and tangent bundles of the quantum plane¹

Piotr M. Hajac²

Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics
University of Cambridge, Silver Street, Cambridge CB3 9EW, England
<http://www.damtp.cam.ac.uk/user/pmh33>
e-mail: pmh33@amtp.cam.ac.uk

Rainer Matthes

Institut für Theoretische Physik der Universität Leipzig
Augustuplatz 10/11, D-04109 Leipzig, Germany
e-mail: matthes@itp.uni-leipzig.de

Abstract

We construct a quantum frame bundle of the quantum plane $\mathbb{C}_p^2$ by requiring that a $GL_{q,p}(2)$ -covariant differential calculus on $\mathbb{C}_p^2$ be isomorphic as a bimodule to the space of sections of the associated quantum cotangent bundle. We also construct the section space of the associated quantum tangent bundle, and show that it is naturally dual to the differential calculus.

1 Introduction

The notion of a Hopf-Galois extension replaces the classical concept of a principal bundle the same way Hopf algebras replace groups. This is why we think of objects dual to Hopf-

¹Modified version of the talk given by R.M. at the workshop “Lie Theory and its Applications in Physics II”, Clausthal, August 1997.

² Partially supported by the NATO Postdoctoral Fellowship and by the KBN grant 2 P301 020 07. On leave from: Department of Mathematical Methods in Physics, Warsaw University, ul. Hoża 74, Warsaw, 00-682 Poland. http://info.fuw.edu.pl/KMMF/ludzie_ang.html e-mail: pmh@fuw.edu.pl

Galois extensions as quantum principal bundles. An obvious next step is to consider associated quantum vector bundles. More precisely, what we need here is a replacement of the module of sections of an associated vector bundle. In the classical case such sections can be equivalently described as “functions of type ϱ ” from the total space of a principal bundle to a vector space. We follow this construction in the quantum case by considering bimodules associated with Hopf-Galois extensions (see [D1, Appendix B] and [D2]).

The aim of this note is to present an example of a Hopf-Galois extension which can be considered the dual of a $GL_{q,p}(2)$ -frame bundle of the quantum plane $\mathbb{C}_p^2$. It is constructed in such a way that the naturally associated cotangent bimodule is isomorphic to one of the known left covariant differential calculi on $\mathbb{C}_p^2$. The main claim of this work is contained in the last section, where we determine the left and right bimodule duals of the aforementioned differential calculus, and prove that they are both isomorphic to the associated tangent bimodule.

We assume that the reader is familiar with the Hopf-algebra terminology and Sweedler notation $\Delta(f) = \sum f_1 \otimes f_2$, etc.

2 Preliminaries

We recall here several definitions and known results to be used in the sequel.

Definition 2.1 *Let H be a Hopf algebra, let P be a right H -comodule algebra with a structure map $\Delta_R : P \rightarrow P \otimes H$, and let $\iota : B \rightarrow P$ be an algebra embedding such that $\iota(B) = P^{coH} := \{f \in P \mid \Delta_R(f) = f \otimes 1\}$. If the canonical map*

$$P \otimes_B P \longrightarrow P \otimes H, \quad f \otimes f' \longrightarrow \sum f f'_0 \otimes f'_1,$$

is bijective, $B \subseteq P$ is called an H -Galois extension.

Definition 2.2 *An H -Galois extension $B \subseteq P$ is called cleft if there exists a linear map (called a cleaving map) $j : H \rightarrow P$ which is invertible in the convolution algebra $\text{Hom}(H, P)$ and fulfills $\Delta_R \circ j = (j \otimes id) \circ \Delta$ (H -colinearity).*

Cleft extensions are equivalent to crossed products $B \#_{(\triangleright, \sigma)} H$. The latter are determined by a pair $(\triangleright, \sigma)$ (left cocycle action) defined as follows:

Definition 2.3 *A left cocycle action of H on B is a pair $(\triangleright, \sigma)$, where $H \otimes B \xrightarrow{\triangleright} B$ and $H \otimes H \xrightarrow{\sigma} B$ are linear maps with the properties*

- (i) $h \triangleright (ab) = \sum (h_1 \triangleright a)(h_2 \triangleright b)$,
- (ii) $h \triangleright 1 = \varepsilon(h)1$,
- (iii) $1 \triangleright b = b$,
- (iv) $\sigma(h \otimes 1) = \sigma(1 \otimes h) = \varepsilon(h)1$,

- (v) $\sum h_1 \triangleright \sigma(h'_1 \otimes h''_1) \sigma(h_2 \otimes h'_2 h''_2) = \sum \sigma(h_1 \otimes h'_1) \sigma(h_2 h'_2 \otimes h''),$
- (vi) $\sum (h_1 \triangleright (h'_1 \triangleright b)) \sigma(h_2 \otimes h'_2) = \sum \sigma(h_1 \otimes h'_1) ((h_2 h'_2) \triangleright b),$
- (vii) σ is convolution invertible.

Proposition 2.4 *Let $(\triangleright, \sigma)$ be a left cocycle action. The bilinear map defined by*

$$(b \otimes h)(b' \otimes h') := \sum b(h_1 \triangleright b') \sigma(h_2 \otimes h'_1) \otimes h_3 h'_2$$

makes $B \otimes H$ a unital associative algebra.

This algebra, denoted by $B \#_{(\triangleright, \sigma)} H$, is called a crossed product of B and H . B is embedded as an algebra in $B \#_{(\triangleright, \sigma)} H$ via $id \otimes 1$. The homomorphism $id \otimes \Delta$ makes the latter a right H -comodule algebra. B is the corresponding subalgebra of coinvariants, and $1 \otimes id$ is a cleaving map. Conversely, for a cleft H -Galois extension $B \subseteq P$ with a cleaving map $j : H \rightarrow P$, one defines a left cocycle action $(\triangleright, \sigma)$ by

$$h \triangleright b = \sum j(h_1) b j^{-1}(h_2), \quad \sigma(h \otimes h') = \sum j(h_1) j(h'_1) j^{-1}(h_2 h'_2),$$

where j^{-1} is the convolution inverse of j . It turns out that $\varphi : B \#_{(\triangleright, \sigma)} H \rightarrow P$, $b \otimes h \mapsto b j(h)$, is an isomorphism of right H -comodule algebras. Smash products are defined as crossed products with the trivial cocycle σ , i.e., $\sigma = \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \cdot 1$. In this case, the above conditions (iv), (v) and (vii) become trivial, whereas (vi) becomes

$$(vi') \quad h \triangleright (h' \triangleright b) = (h h') \triangleright b.$$

The map $\triangleright$ with the properties (i), (ii), (iii) and (vi') is called a left action of H on B . The smash product of B and H is usually denoted by $B \# H$, though it may still depend on the choice of the left action. (For information about these notions and facts see [BCM, BM, DT, M-S2, M-S1, M-Su, S] and references therein.)

For an H -Galois extension $B \subseteq P$ and a right H -comodule F ($\rho_R : F \rightarrow F \otimes H$), we think of the associated bimodule of colinear maps (intertwiners) ${}_{\rho_R} Hom_{\Delta_R}(F, P) := \{\ell \in Hom(F, P) \mid \Delta_R \circ \ell = (\ell \otimes id) \circ \rho_R\}$ as the B -bimodule of sections of the associated quantum vector bundle. The bimodule structure of ${}_{\rho_R} Hom_{\Delta_R}(F, P)$ is defined by $(b\ell)(f) = b(\ell(f))$, $(\ell b)(f) = (\ell(f))b$. Note that the classical cotangent bundle corresponds to the data $F = \mathbb{R}^n$, $H = C^\infty(GL(n))$, $\rho_R(e_i) = e_j \otimes g^j_i$, where $\{e_i\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$, and the matrix elements g^j_i are considered functions on $GL(n)$. The corresponding data for the tangent bundle is $F = \mathbb{R}^{n*}$, $H = C^\infty(GL(n))$, $\tilde{\rho}_R(e^i) = e^j \otimes S(g^i_j)$. Here $\{e^i\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n*}$, and S is the antipode in $C^\infty(GL(n))$. This motivates our constructions and terminology in the subsequent sections.

Let us now recall the definition of the coordinate ring of the quantum plane $\mathbb{C}_q^2$ and two-parameter quantum general linear group $GL_{q,p}(2)$. $A(\mathbb{C}_p^2)$ is defined as the unital associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ generated by x, y with relations

$$xy = pyx, \quad p \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}. \quad (1)$$

$A(GL_{q,p}(2))$ is defined as the unital associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ generated by a, b, c, d, D^{-1} with relations

$$ab = qba, \quad ac = pca, \quad bd = pdb, \quad cd = qdc, \quad bc = \frac{p}{q}cb, \quad ad = da + (q - p^{-1})bc \quad (2)$$

$$(ad - qbc)D^{-1} = D^{-1}(ad - qbc) = 1, \quad (3)$$

where $p, q \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. (Notice that, compared with [M-Y], we have used p^{-1}, q^{-1} instead of q, p .) For the inverse of the quantum determinant $D = ad - qbc$ one derives the commutation rules

$$aD^{-1} = D^{-1}a, \quad dD^{-1} = D^{-1}d, \quad bD^{-1} = qp^{-1}D^{-1}d, \quad cD^{-1} = q^{-1}pD^{-1}c. \quad (4)$$

The Hopf algebra structure of $A(GL_{q,p}(2))$ is defined in terms of the matrix

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

of generators by

$$\Delta(T) = T \dot{\otimes} T, \quad \Delta(D^{-1}) = D^{-1} \otimes D^{-1}, \quad (5)$$

$$\varepsilon(T) = I, \quad \varepsilon(D^{-1}) = 1, \quad (6)$$

$$S(T) = \begin{pmatrix} d & -q^{-1}b \\ -qc & a \end{pmatrix} D^{-1}, \quad S(D^{-1}) = D. \quad (7)$$

Here all equations involving T are to be understood componentwise. $A(\mathbb{C}_p^2)$ is a left $A(GL_{q,p}(2))$ and a right $A(GL_{p,q}(2))$ -comodule algebra with coactions δ_L and δ_R given on generators by $\delta_L(X) = T \dot{\otimes} X$ and $\delta_R(X^t) = X^t \dot{\otimes} T$, where

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

and X^t is the transposed matrix.

Next, recall from [M-Y] (cf. [BDR]) that the $A(\mathbb{C}_p^2)$ -bimodule $\Gamma(T_q^* \mathbb{C}_p^2)$ generated by ξ, η with relations

$$x\xi = pq\xi x, \quad x\eta = (pq - 1)\xi y + p\eta x, \quad (8)$$

$$y\xi = q\xi y, \quad y\eta = pq\eta y \quad (9)$$

is a left $A(GL_{q,p}(2))$ -covariant $A(\mathbb{C}_p^2)$ -bimodule. The left coaction

$$\hat{\Delta}_L : \Gamma(T_q^* \mathbb{C}_p^2) \longrightarrow A(GL_{q,p}(2)) \otimes \Gamma(T_q^* \mathbb{C}_p^2)$$

is given by

$$\hat{\Delta}_L \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \dot{\otimes} \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (10)$$

Moreover, with $dx := \xi$, $dy := \eta$, $(\Gamma(T_q^* \mathbb{C}_p^2), d)$ is a first order differential calculus over $\mathbb{C}_p^2$. (Comparing with [M-Y], we have used again p^{-1}, q^{-1} instead of q, p .)

3 Frame and cotangent bundles of $\mathbb{C}_p^2$

We are now going to construct a Hopf-Galois extension playing the role of a quantum frame bundle of $\mathbb{C}_p^2$. This construction essentially resembles that of [M-S2, Example 10.2.12]. First we need:

Lemma 3.1 *There exists a well-defined left action of $A(GL_{q,p}(2))$ on $A(\mathbb{C}_p^2)$ given by the formulas*

$$a \triangleright x = (pq)^{-1}x, \quad b \triangleright x = 0, \quad c \triangleright x = ((pq)^{-1} - 1)y, \quad d \triangleright x = p^{-1}x, \quad D^{-1} \triangleright x = p^2qx, \quad (11)$$

$$a \triangleright y = q^{-1}y, \quad b \triangleright y = 0, \quad c \triangleright y = 0, \quad d \triangleright y = (pq)^{-1}y, \quad D^{-1} \triangleright y = pq^2y. \quad (12)$$

Proof. A direct verification that (11)–(12) respect the ideals defining $A(\mathbb{C}_p^2)$ and $A(GL_{q,p}(2))$. $\square$

The above action defines a smash product whose cross relations reproduce the bimodule structure of $\Gamma(T_q^*\mathbb{C}^2)$. We denote the smash product $A(\mathbb{C}_p^2) \# A(GL_{q,p}(2))$ corresponding to this action by $A(F_q\mathbb{C}_p^2)$, and call it the coordinate ring of a quantum frame bundle of the quantum plane $\mathbb{C}_p^2$. We have injective algebra homomorphisms $id \otimes 1 : A(\mathbb{C}_p^2) \rightarrow A(F_q\mathbb{C}_p^2)$ and $1 \otimes id : A(GL_{q,p}(2)) \rightarrow A(F_q\mathbb{C}_p^2)$, and the right coaction $\Delta_R = id \otimes \Delta$ making $A(\mathbb{C}_p^2)$ the algebra of coinvariants. The images $x \otimes 1, y \otimes 1, 1 \otimes a, 1 \otimes b, \dots, 1 \otimes D^{-1}$ of the generators of $A(\mathbb{C}_p^2)$ and $A(GL_{q,p}(2))$ under these embeddings are denoted by $x, y, a, \dots, D^{-1}$ respectively. They are the generators of $A(F_q\mathbb{C}_p^2)$. They satisfy the relations (1), (2), (3) and additional cross relations coming from the definition of the smash product:

$$xa = pqax, \quad xb = pqb, \quad xc = (pq - 1)ay + pcx, \quad xd = (pq - 1)by + pdx, \quad (13)$$

$$ya = qay, \quad yb = qby, \quad yc = pqcy, \quad yd = pqdy. \quad (14)$$

Furthermore, one can compute

$$xD^{-1} = p^{-2}q^{-1}D^{-1}x, \quad yD^{-1} = p^{-1}q^{-2}D^{-1}y. \quad (15)$$

As can be shown using Proposition 4.5 of [M-S1], $A(F_q\mathbb{C}_p^2)$ is not isomorphic to the tensor product $A(\mathbb{C}_p^2) \otimes A(GL_{q,p}(2))$.

Our goal is now to show that the differential calculus defined by (8) and (9), which is one of the two left $GL_{q,p}(2)$ -covariant first order differential calculi on $\mathbb{C}_p^2$ described in [M-Y], is isomorphic as an $A(\mathbb{C}_p^2)$ -bimodule to the cotangent bimodule associated to $A(F_q\mathbb{C}_p^2)$.

Let $\{e = (1, 0), f = (0, 1)\}$ be the canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}^2$, and ρ_R be the right corepresentation of $A(GL_{q,p}(2))$ on $\mathbb{C}^2$ given by the formula

$$\rho_R(e \ f) = (e \ f) \otimes \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}. \quad (16)$$

Following arguments of the previous section, we treat the bimodule of colinear maps ${}_{\rho_R}Hom_{\Delta_R}(\mathbb{C}^2, A(F_q\mathbb{C}_p^2))$ as the space of sections of the quantum cotangent bundle of $\mathbb{C}_p^2$. The main point of this section is contained in the following:

Proposition 3.2 ${}_{\rho_R}Hom_{\Delta_R}(\mathbb{C}^2, A(F_q \mathbb{C}_p^2))$ and $\Gamma(T_q^* \mathbb{C}_p^2)$ defined by (8)–(9) are isomorphic as $A(\mathbb{C}_p^2)$ -bimodules.

Proof. First we need to state the following reformulation of [BM, Proposition A.7]:

Lemma 3.3 *Let $B \subseteq P$ be a cleft H -Galois extension with a cleaving map j , and let $\rho_R : F \rightarrow F \otimes H$ be a right corepresentation of H on F . Then ${}_{\rho_R}Hom_{\Delta_R}(F, P)$ is isomorphic as a left B -module to the free module $Hom(F, B)$.*

The isomorphism $\Psi : Hom(F, B) \rightarrow {}_{\rho_R}Hom_{\Delta_R}(F, P)$ used in the proof of the above lemma is given by the formulas [BM]:

$$(\Psi(u))(\lambda) = \sum u(\lambda_0)j(\lambda_1), \quad \rho_R(\lambda) = \sum \lambda_0 \otimes \lambda_1.$$

Denote by $\{\sigma_x, \sigma_y\}$ the basis of the $A(\mathbb{C}_p^2)$ -module $Hom(\mathbb{C}^2, A(\mathbb{C}_p^2))$ dual to the canonical basis $\{e, f\}$ of $\mathbb{C}^2$. (To simplify notation, here and further on, we identify $A(\mathbb{C}_p^2)$ with its image in $A(F_q \mathbb{C}_p^2)$.) By Lemma 3.3, $\{\Psi(\sigma_x), \Psi(\sigma_y)\}$ is a basis of the left $A(\mathbb{C}_p^2)$ -module ${}_{\rho_R}Hom_{\Delta_R}(\mathbb{C}^2, A(F_q \mathbb{C}_p^2))$. To fix Ψ , we choose a cleaving map j to be $1 \otimes id$. One can now check directly that the mapping determined by

$$\xi \mapsto \Psi(\sigma_x), \quad \eta \mapsto \Psi(\sigma_y)$$

yields the desired isomorphism of bimodules. □

4 Tangent construction for $\mathbb{C}_p^2$

Following the construction of the associated cotangent bimodule $\Gamma(T_q^* \mathbb{C}_p^2)$, we define the tangent bimodule:

Definition 4.1 *Let $\tilde{\rho}_R : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes A(GL_{q,p}(2))$ be the right corepresentation given by*

$$\tilde{\rho}_R(e, f) = (e, f) \otimes S \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^t, \quad (17)$$

where $\{e, f\}$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}^2$ as above. The bimodule

$$\Gamma(T_q \mathbb{C}_p^2) := {}_{\tilde{\rho}_R}Hom_{\Delta_R}(\mathbb{C}^2, A(F_q \mathbb{C}_p^2))$$

is called the space of sections of the quantum tangent bundle of $\mathbb{C}_p^2$.

Taking again advantage of Lemma 3.3, we find a left $A(\mathbb{C}_p^2)$ -module basis of $\Gamma(T_q \mathbb{C}_p^2)$ from the formulas:

$$\partial_x(\lambda) = \sum \sigma_x(\lambda_0)j(\lambda_1), \quad \partial_y(\lambda) = \sum \sigma_y(\lambda_0)j(\lambda_1).$$

Here $\tilde{\rho}_R(\lambda) = \sum \lambda_0 \otimes \lambda_1$, and σ_x, σ_y and j are as in the previous section. Moreover, one can directly compute the following:

Lemma 4.2 *The bimodule structure of $\Gamma(T_q \mathbb{C}_p^2)$ is determined by the equations:*

$$x\partial_x = (q^{-1}p^{-1} - 1)\partial_y y + p^{-1}q^{-1}\partial_x x, \quad (18)$$

$$x\partial_y = p^{-1}\partial_y x, \quad (19)$$

$$y\partial_x = q^{-1}\partial_x y, \quad (20)$$

$$y\partial_y = p^{-1}q^{-1}\partial_y y. \quad (21)$$

Caution: Symbols like $\partial_x x$ do not signify differentiation but multiplication of ∂_x by x on the right, i.e., $(\partial_x x)(\lambda) := (\partial_x(\lambda))x$.

Corollary 4.3 *$\{\partial_x, \partial_y\}$ is a basis of the right $A(\mathbb{C}_p^2)$ -module $\Gamma(T_q \mathbb{C}_p^2)$.*

We will now show that there is a natural duality between the $A(\mathbb{C}_p^2)$ -bimodules $\Gamma(T_q^* \mathbb{C}_p^2)$ and $\Gamma(T_q \mathbb{C}_p^2)$. First, let us recall the definition of bimodule duals (cf. [B-N]). Let B be an algebra, and let M be a B -bimodule. Denote by *M the space all of left B -module maps $M \rightarrow B$, and by M^* the space all of right B -module maps $M \rightarrow B$. *M becomes a B -bimodule via

$$(bX)(m) = X(mb), \quad (Xb)(m) = X(m)b, \quad X \in {}^*M, \quad m \in M, \quad b \in B.$$

Similarly, the bimodule structure of M^* is given by:

$$(bX)(m) = bX(m), \quad (Xb)(m) = X(bm).$$

We call the bimodules *M and M^* the left and right dual of M respectively. These notions of bimodule duals were used in [B-A] to define Cartan pairs, and thus obtain a general concept of vector field in Noncommutative Geometry. Now we can state the main claim of this paper:

Proposition 4.4 *The $A(\mathbb{C}_p^2)$ -bimodule $\Gamma(T_q \mathbb{C}_p^2)$ is naturally isomorphic to the left dual of the $A(\mathbb{C}_p^2)$ -bimodule $\Gamma(T_q^* \mathbb{C}_p^2)$. The left and right dual of $\Gamma(T_q^* \mathbb{C}_p^2)$ are also isomorphic as bimodules.*

Proof. The first assertion follows from the fact that the left dual basis of the basis $\{\xi, \eta\}$ of $\Gamma(T_q^* \mathbb{C}_p^2)$ satisfies (18)-(21). The dual basis $\{\partial_x^R, \partial_y^R\}$ of the right dual of $\Gamma(T_q^* \mathbb{C}_p^2)$ satisfies (19)-(21) and

$$x\partial_x^R = (pq)^{-1}((pq)^{-1} - 1)\partial_y^R y + (pq)^{-1}\partial_x^R x.$$

Hence $\partial_x \mapsto \partial_x^R$, $\partial_y \mapsto (pq)^{-1}\partial_y^R$ defines a bimodule isomorphism proving the second assertion.

□

References

- [BCM] Blattner, R. J., Cohen, M. and S. Montgomery: “Crossed products and inner actions of Hopf algebras” *Transactions AMS* **298** 671-711 (1986)
- [BM] Blattner, R. J. and S. Montgomery: “Crossed products and Galois extensions of Hopf algebras”, *Pac. J. Math.* **137** (1989), 37-54
- [B-A] Borowiec, A.: “Cartan pairs”, *Czech. J. Phys.* **46** (1996), 1197-1202, (q-alg/9609011)
- [B-N] Bourbaki, N.: *Éléments de mathématique. Livre II: Algèbre. Chapitre 2: Algèbre linéaire*, Hermann Paris 1962
- [BDR] Brzeziński, T.; Dąbrowski, H.; Rembieliński, J.: “On the quantum differential calculus and the quantum holomorphicity” *J. Math. Phys.* **33** (1) 19–24 (1992)
- [BM] Brzeziński, T.; Majid, S.: “Quantum Group Gauge Theory on Quantum Spaces” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **157** 591–638 (1993) (hep-th/9208007)
- [DT] Doi, Y. and M. Takeuchi: “Cleft comodule algebras for a bialgebra”, *Comm. Algebra* **14** (5) (1986), 801-817
- [D1] Durdevic, M.: “Geometry of Quantum Principal Bundles II” *Rev. Math. Phys.* **9** (5) 531–607 (1997) (q-alg/9412005)
- [D2] Durdevic, M.: “Quantum Principal Bundles and Tannaka-Krein Duality Theory” *Rep. Math. Phys.* **38** (3) 313-324 (1996) (q-alg/9507018)
- [M-S1] Majid, S.: “Cross product quantisation, nonabelian cohomology and twisting of Hopf algebras” In: H.-D. Doebner, V. K. Dobrev, A. G. Ushveridze (eds.): *Generalized Symmetries in Physics*. Proc. Int. Symp. on Math. Phys. Clausthal 1993, World Sci. Singapore New Jersey London Hong Kong 1994, pp. 13-41
- [M-S2] Majid, S.: *Foundations of Quantum Group Theory*. Cambridge University Press, 1995
- [M-Y] Manin, Yu. I.: “Notes on quantum groups and quantum de Rham complexes”, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **92** (3) 997-1019 (1992)
- [M-Su] Montgomery, S.: *Hopf algebras and their actions on rings*, AMS, 1993
- [S] Schneider, H.J.: “Hopf Galois Extensions, Crossed Products, and Clifford Theory” In: Bergen, J., Montgomery, S. (eds.) *Advances in Hopf Algebras*. Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics. **158**, Marcel Dekker, Inc. 267–297 (1994)

Acknowledgments: It is a pleasure to thank Mico Durdevic, Istvan Heckenberger and Axel Schüller for helpful discussions. P.M.H. is also very grateful for the kind hospitality of the University of Leipzig.

Erratum: This paper replaces its earlier version titled “A Frame Bundle of the Quantum Plane”, preprint NTZ 37/1997. In the previous version, the construction of the smash product comodule algebra $A(F_q \mathbb{C}_p^2)$ was flawed. We used $GL_{p,q}(2)$ instead of $GL_{q,p}(2)$. This innocent looking interchange causes the collapse of the algebra $A(F_q \mathbb{C}_p^2)$. In particular, $A(\mathbb{C}_p^2)$ is no longer a subalgebra of $A(F_q \mathbb{C}_p^2)$.